MIPO in the Management of Type IIIA Compound Femoral Shaft Fractures Caused by High Velocity Missiles
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Introduction

Compound femoral shaft fractures due to high-velocity missiles HVMs (bullets and shells) are very extensive injuries, victims are militaries and civilians, and it has been considered as surgical emergencies and associated with complications such as infection, non-union and mal-union; and carries social and economic burdens.

The challenge of such injuries is identifying the therapy that will result in the most predictable and acceptable fracture union with minimal complications [1].

Medium and high-velocity missiles from pistol, rifle bullets or fragments of shells produce almost the same pattern of injury; damage to the body by laceration, crushing, shock waves and cavitation’s, in addition to the pathophysiologic effects, psychological trauma must be considered [2]. The success of management depends, to a large extent, on a minimal interference with the blood supply to the bone [3].

There are many classification systems for open fractures, such as Gustilo-Anderson classification which is widely used [4,5], and it is prognostically valuable for predicting infections [6-8].

High-velocity bullets are defined as those traveling at a speed >2000 ft/s (M16 military rifles and most hunting rifles) [9]. With higher velocities, the temporary cavity is larger and with water vapor at a low atmospheric pressure, causing a momentary vacuum, which may attract contaminating foreign material [10,11].

All open fractures must be assumed to be contaminated; step by step antibiotic prophylaxis, urgent wound and fracture debridement, stabilization of the fracture and early definitive wound cover can prevent more harmful effects [12], taking in consideration 6 h is the benchmark time from injury to debridement of open fractures in most of the trauma centers [13,14].
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An effort should be made to convert an external fixator to a nail within 2-4 weeks after injury, in order to minimize the risk of infection [15,16].

Minimally invasive plate osteosynthesis (MIPO) is a method of biological internal fixation performed by introducing a plate via small insertion incisions, without interfering with fracture environment [17,18]. MIPO can be a good alternative to the gold standard nail in treatment of femoral fractures due to HVMIs.

It has been rightly said by well-known anatomist Schenk, “if the fracture surgeon does something ‘LOGICAL’ then ‘BIO’ will do the rest” [19].

Patients and Methods

This prospective case series study was carried out in Baghdad Medical City. Approval for the work was obtained from The Iraqi Board for Medical Specializations (the scientific council of fracture and orthopedics). Written informed consent had been taken from all patients after careful explanation about method of management and other available options. Fractures were identified according to the Gustilo-Anderson Classification [4,5,20].

Inclusion criteria for this study were Patients with compound femoral shaft fractures that caused by high velocity missiles (bullets or shells) of type IIIA (Gustilo-Anderson Classification).

Exclusion criteria were Patients with compound femoral shaft fractures that caused by high velocity missiles (bullets or shells) other than type IIIA (like IIIB, IIIC), poly-trauma patients with major systemic injuries like head and chest injuries, patients with extensive limb injuries that required amputation, pediatric patients and elderly patients with medical co-morbidities.

From October 2014 to March 2016, 16 male patients included in the study average age 31 years (range, 22 to 47 years). 6 left sided femoral fractures and 10 right sided femoral fractures. 13 fractures were caused by bullets and 3 fractures were caused by shells (blast injuries). 4 patients were civilians and 12 patients were military. 2 patients were hypertensive controlled with medication and 9 patients were smokers.

All patients were received at the Medical City Emergency Department. The injured limb was evaluated regarding the viability of the limb, the neurological status, the site and size of the entry and exit wounds. All patients received prophylactic antibiotics and anti-tetanus.

The patients then transferred to the operating room for wound debridement and skeletal fixation, we used external fixators (AO and Hoffman types) with two or three 6 mm half-pins inserted into the distal and proximal femoral fragments and connected to a unilateral frame after closed reduction of the fracture.

Removal of the external fixators and one-stage conversion procedure to internal fixation by MIPO technique with DCP was performed for all patients within 2 weeks; averaged 10.93 days (range, 7 to 14 days) (Table 1 and Figures 1 and 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Age (y)</th>
<th>Side</th>
<th>Missile</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Smoker</th>
<th>Comorbidities</th>
<th>Period/Day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>Lt</td>
<td>Bullet</td>
<td>Civilian</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Hypertensive</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Rt</td>
<td>Bullet</td>
<td>Military</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Rt</td>
<td>Bullet</td>
<td>Military</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Rt</td>
<td>Bullet</td>
<td>Civilian</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Lt</td>
<td>Bullet</td>
<td>Military</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Lt</td>
<td>Shell</td>
<td>Military</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Rt</td>
<td>Bullet</td>
<td>Military</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Rt</td>
<td>Bullet</td>
<td>Military</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Rt</td>
<td>Bullet</td>
<td>Military</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Rt</td>
<td>Shell</td>
<td>Military</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Rt</td>
<td>Shell</td>
<td>Civilian</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Two weeks postoperatively, all patients had been followed for wound care and removal of stitches. Patients were allowed to walk with crutches, without weight-bearing on the affected limb.

Clinical and radiological assessments were performed at 6 weeks and every 6 weeks until complete healing of the fractures (12 week, 18 week, 24 week, 30 week, and 36 week). 4 of 16 fractures (25%) had bony union in 18 week, 10 of 16 fractures (62.5%) had bony union in 24 week and only 2 of 16 fractures (12.5%) did not have complete bony union at 24 week which considered as a delayed union. Of the 2 delayed union fractures, complete healing of the fractures occurred in the next 6 weeks (in 30 week) without secondary surgical intervention.

The union and delayed union rates/weeks are shown in Figure 3 and the follow up results are shown in Table 2. For all the 16 fracture, the union rate was 87.5% (14 of 16 fracture), the delayed union rate was 12.5% (2 of 16 fracture), and there was no wound healing complications, infections, non-union or implant failure (Figure 4).

Discussion

Compound femoral shaft fractures that caused by high-velocity missiles HVMs (bullets and shells) are increasing in our country (Iraq) because of the war against terrorism.

Treatment by wound debridement and external fixation or primary interlocking nail is the main stay of treatment, but there is limited data regarding the ideal time to conversion from external to internal fixation; however, the general window of 2 to 4 weeks yields high rates of union and low infection [22]. However, an effort should be made to convert an external to internal fixation within 2 weeks after injury.

Wenda [23] and Krettek [24] introduced a percutaneous plating technique called “minimally invasive plate osteosynthesis (MIPO)”. MIPO has gained popularity and has continued to evolve in the last decade, but there are limited reports about management of the compound femoral shaft fractures that caused by high velocity missiles with MIPO.
Figure 4: (A-G) patient with right side compound femoral shaft fracture of type IIIA caused by high velocity bullet, treated by early wound debridement and external fixation of the fracture, then within 14 days, one stage conversion from external to internal fixation done with MIPO using long DCP. (A-B) AP and lateral post-operative x-ray, (C) 3 months post-operatively, (D) 6 months post-operatively, (E) 1 year post-operatively, (F) Same patient at 6 months post-operatively, (G) Same patient at 1 year post-operatively.
Closed intramedullary locked nail is considered the gold standard treatment for femoral shaft fracture [25], but it is not always available or applicable.

Wiss et al. treated 56 femoral fractures due to low velocity gunshot injuries with interlocking nailing and concluded that the result of closed interlocking nailing were excellent, all fractures united and there were no infections, two delayed unions and seven mal-unions [26].

Abulkalam et al. [30] in their prospective study of 40 comminuted femoral shaft fractures (open and closed), concluded that, the management of comminuted femoral shaft fracture with bridge plating is a very good procedure.

Oh et al. [31] in their study investigated the surgical outcomes of patients with femoral mid-diaphyseal fractures treated with MIPO, which were difficult for intramedullary nailing, they concluded that “in cases of difficult intramedullary nailing, MIPO could be an alternative surgical option, but concurrent soft tissue injuries and multiple trauma may increase the risk of non-union in spite of biological fixation”.

Increasing rates of infection, delayed union, non-union and failure of implant in conventional plating method of open fractures had been widely reported [32-36].

Although, patients' number in our study was less than that of other studies and the follow up time was shorter, all these variables were not causing major influence on our results that are consistent with other studies.

### Conclusion

MIPO treating of HVMs femoral shaft fractures can be used as an alternative procedure to intramedullary nail in fractures of type IIIA (Gustillo-Anderson Classification).

One-stage conversion from external to internal fixation, within 14 days, is the ideal time to decrease the complications in the management of HVMs femoral shaft fractures.

### Recommendation

Prospective comparative studies with the gold standard are needed in the future to better demonstrate the effectiveness of MIPO technique in the treatment of HVMs femoral shaft fractures.
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**Table 2: Follow-up results.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>PWB/w</th>
<th>FWB/w</th>
<th>Fracture union/w</th>
<th>Follow-up /m</th>
<th>Complication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Delayed union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Delayed union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PWB: Partial Weight Bearing; FWB: Full Weight Bearing; W: Weeks; M: Months
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